1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON			
9	AT TACOMA			
10	MARK ANTHONY YORK, III,			
11	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. 3:21-CV-5568-DWC		
12	v.	ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES		
13	COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,			
14	Defendant.			
15	Cymantly manding hafare the Cayet is DI	ointiffs Unannesed Mation for EAIA Food Dirt		
16	Currently pending before the Court is Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion for EAJA Fees. Dkt.			
17	19. Plaintiff requests fees under the Equal Access to Justice Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2412, ("EAJA").			
18	Based on the EAJA, attorney time itemization and the relevant record, the Motion (Dkt. 19) is			
19	granted.			
20	The Court orders an EAJA Award in the amount of EAJA attorney's fees of \$ 7,156.02			
21	be awarded to Plaintiff. Astrue v. Ratliff, 560 U.S. 586, 591–97 (2010); see also 28 U.S.C. §			
22	1920; 31 U.S.C. § 1304(a). Under <i>Astrue v. Ratliff</i> , 130 S. Ct. 2521, 2528-29 (2010), EAJA fees			
23	awarded by this Court belong to the Plaintiff and are subject to offset under the Treasury Offset			
24	Program (31 U.S.C. § 3716(c)(3)(B) (2006)). Any EAJA fees should therefore be awarded to			

1	Plaintiff and not to Plaintiff's attorney. If, after receiving this Order, the Commissioner (1)		
2	determines that Plaintiff has assigned his right to EAJA fees to his attorney; (2) determines that		
3	Plaintiff does not owe a debt that is subject to offset under the Treasury Offset Program, and (3)		
4	agrees to waive the requirements of the Anti-Assignment Act, then the EAJA fees will be made		
5	payable to Plaintiff's attorney. However, if there is a debt owed under the Treasury Offset		
6	Program, the remaining EAJA fees after offset will be paid by a check made out to Plaintiff but		
7	delivered to Lisa Rene Lang, Plaintiff's attorney.		
8	Dated this 6th day of May, 2022.		
9	Ma Muisto		
10	David W. Christel		
11	United States Magistrate Judge		
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			